**REMARKS** 

Claims pending in the instant application are numbered 1-30. Claims 1-30 presently

stand rejected. Claims 1, 9, 17 and 22-28 have been amended. Claims 10-16 have been

cancelled. Claims 31-35 have been added. The Applicant respectfully requests

reconsideration of the present application in view of the amendments and the following

remarks.

35 U.S.C. §102 Rejections

Claims 1, 3-7, 9-10, 12-21, 22-28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as

being anticipated by Schell et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,314,520.

A claim is anticipated only if each and every element of the claim is found in a

reference (M.P.E.P. § 2131 citing Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California, 814 F.2d

628 (Fed. Cir. 1987)). The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is

contained in the claim (M.P.E.P. § 2131 citing Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co., 868 F.2d

1226,1236 (Fed. Cir. 1989)).

Claim 1 as presently amended expressly recites "wherein the first switch unit to

output data stored by one control register of the first plurality of control registers."

Schell is directed to a trusted workstation in a networked client/server computing

system. Schell discloses a protected receive low address enforcement register 90 and a

protected receive high address enforcement register 92 (col. 4, lines 61-62). However, data

from register 90 or register 92 is not outputted by comparator circuit 100. Thus, Schell fails

to disclose "wherein the first switch unit to output data stored by one control register of the

Atty Docket: 42P12371 Serial No. 09/991,128

RCE Submission

Examiner: McLean-Mayo Art Unit: 2187

- 10 -

first plurality of control registers" as expressly claimed by the Applicant. Independent claim

28 distinguishes for at least the same reason as claim 1. Claims 2-9 and 29-30 are dependent

claims and distinguish for at least the same reasons as their independent base claims in

addition to adding further limitations of their own.

Claim 17 as presently amended expressly recites "the selected portion to be provided

to one of a thermal control unit or a power conservation unit of the circuit." However, Schell

fails to disclose "a thermal control unit or a power conservation unit" as expressly claimed by

the Applicant. Claims 18-21 are dependent claims and distinguish for at least the same

reasons as claim 17 in addition to adding further limitations of their own.

Claim 22 as presently amended expressly recites "the unit to operate in accordance

with the sensitive data to prevent damage to the circuit." However, Schell fails to disclose

"the unit to operate in accordance with the sensitive data to prevent damage to the circuit" as

expressly claimed by the Applicant. Independent claim 25 distinguishes for at least the same

reason as claim 22. Claims 23-24 and 26-27 are dependent claims and distinguish for at least

the same reasons as their independent base claims in addition to adding further limitations of

their own.

35 U.S.C. § 103 Rejections

Claims 2, 11 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Schell in view of Circello et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2003/0061461.

- 11 -

Atty Docket: 42P12371 Serial No. 09/991,128 Examiner: McLean-Mayo

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schell in view of

Rapp U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2001/0014036.

Claims 2 and 29 are dependent claims and distinguish for at least the same reasons as

their independent base claims, as described above, in addition to adding further limitations of

their own. Claims 8 and 11 have been cancelled. Therefore, the Applicant respectfully

requests that the instant § 103 rejections be withdrawn.

New Claims 31-35

New claims 31-32 are allowable based on their dependency on allowable claim 1.

The Applicant submits that new claim 33 is not anticipated nor rendered obvious by the cited

references, and accordingly, claim 33 is allowable. New claims 34-35 are allowable based

on the dependency on claim 33. The Examiner's attention is directed to at least Figures 3

and 4 and the corresponding paragraphs of the specification as originally filed for support of

new claims 33-35.

Conclusion

The Applicant respectfully requests that the instant § 102 and § 103 rejections be

withdrawn. The Applicant respectfully submits that in view of the amendments and remarks

set forth herein, all pending claims are in condition for allowance. Therefore, the Applicant

respectfully requests the Examiner to issue a Notice of Allowance in this case.

Atty Docket: 42P12371 Serial No. 09/991,128

**RCE Submission** 

Examiner: McLean-Mayo

Art Unit: 2187

## Charge Deposit Account

Please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 for any additional fee(s) that may be due in this matter, and please credit the same deposit account for any overpayment.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN

Date: Feb. 19, 2004

Anthony H. Azure

Reg. No. 52,580

Phone: (206) 292-8600

## FIRST CLASS CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on February 19, 2004

Date of Deposit

Adrian Villarreal

Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

Signature Fdorsony 19, 2004